

# CITY OF SAN DIEGO HISTORICAL RESOURCES BOARD

## DESIGN ASSISTANCE SUBCOMMITTEE

Wednesday, February 3, 2016, at 4:00 PM

6th Floor Conference Room

Executive Complex

1010 Second Avenue, San Diego, CA

## MEETING NOTES

### 1. ATTENDANCE

Subcommittee Members      Gail Garbini; Tom Larimer; Ann Woods

City Staff

HRB    Jodie Brown; Camille Pekarek, Jane Kang

Guests

Item 3A    Kiley Wallace, Jesus Benayas, Daryl Fick

Other    Bruce Coons, Amie Hayes, SOHO

### 2. Public Comment (on matters not on the agenda)

None

### 3. Project Reviews

#### ▪ **ITEM 3A:**

Listings: N/A

Address: 1965 Sunset

Historic Name: N/A

Significance: N/A

Mills Act Status: No

PTS #: N/A

Project Contact: Daryl and Hoan Fick; Legacy 106 Inc.

Treatment: Rehabilitation

Project Scope: The property owners would like to replace the existing roofing material and would like to discuss an appropriate material.

Existing Square Feet:

Additional Square Feet:

Total Proposed Square Feet:

Prior DAS Review: None

Staff Presentation: Staff met with the property owner 4-5 months ago. They expressed concern about the proposed roof. They would like to replace their roof in a sensitive manner so that it does not exclude designation. The existing tile is rather prominent, staff had concern about how visible it is.

Applicant Presentation: Built in 1914 it is an American Foursquare. The roof was done in 2005/06 with a concrete tile. The Residential Building Record calls out composition shingles that may have replaced the original wood shingles. We have brought some samples of possible roofing materials.

Public Comment:

None

Q&A:

| <b>Subcommittee-member Issue or Question</b>   | <b>Applicant's Response</b>  |
|--|--|
| How old is the concrete roof?  | 10 years. It has a thickness that makes more visible.                |
| If they maintained the concrete tile would that be consistent with the standards?  | The concern is the beefiness of the concrete tile.                   |
| Assuming that the roof was original, they could not put on wood shingles?  | (staff) They could with the use of the State Historic Building Code. |
| So what is character-defining about this roof is the kickers, eave, rafter ends, etc. The roof is not character-defining.                      |  |
| I don't think that the Board would pick on it if it had a little more depth on the shingles and more issue with the bulk of the concrete tile. |  |
| Is there a concrete tile that is thinner?  | We are not sure.   |

Subcommittee Discussion and Comment:

| <b>Subcommittee-member</b> | <b>Comments</b>   |
|----------------------------|---|
| Garbini                    | I don't have a concern with the existing shingle. It is a shame to remove a shingle that is only 10 years old. I would recommend that you bring in some proposed colors and show them to staff. |

Staff Comment:

| <b>Staff Member</b>           | <b>Comments</b> |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Have you looked in the attic? | No.             |
| Do you have the Sanborn maps? | No.             |

Recommended Modifications: Recommend that more research is completed on the roof and that the applicant continue to work with staff on other roof options.

Consensus:

- ☐ Consistent with the Standards
- ☐ Consistent with the Standards if modified as noted
- ☐ Inconsistent with the Standards and needs revision and additional review
- ☐ Inconsistent with the Standards but is the best feasible alternative
- ☐ Inconsistent with the Standards

4. Adjourned at 4:40 PM

The next regularly-scheduled Subcommittee Meeting will be on March 2, 2016 at 4:00 PM.

For more information, please contact Jodie Brown at [JDBrown@sanidiego.gov](mailto:JDBrown@sanidiego.gov) or 619.533.6300.